



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/516,969		02/29/2000	Mizuki Muramatsu	862.C1853	1747
5514	7590	11/19/2004		EXAMINER	
		ELLA HARPER	RAHIMI	RAHIMI, IRAJ A	
30 ROCKE NEW YOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2622	

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/516,969	MURAMATSU, MIZUKI				
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	· 	(Iraj) Alan Rahimi	2622				
The MAILING DATE o Period for Reply	f this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available to after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above of NO period for reply is specified about the set or extension.	IIS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Ing date of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply we, the maximum statutory period we ded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(s) 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED date of this communication, even if timely filed	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status	•						
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u>	ly 2004.	-				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are	Claim(s) 1,4,5,42 and 47 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application Papers							
Applicant may not reque Replacement drawing st	a <u>29 February 2000</u> is/are st that any objection to the c neet(s) including the correcti	r. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DWYLER LAMB PRIMARY EXAMINER							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date	rawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In papers filed on July 2, 2004, applicant canceled claims 2, 3, 6-41, 43-46, 48-51 and amended claims 1, 4, 5, 42 and 47.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 42 and 47 have been considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the added limitation of "...or for processing the image so that the image data is not faithfully reproduced and outputting the processed image data." is not taught by Bobrow. The amended claim establishes this limitation as an alternative approach by using the word "or" and need not be necessarily taught by the prior art. Bobrow discloses the first limitation of output means for, when said determination means determines that the image data is the specific image, selecting and outputting image data in a lowest resolution layer (column 31, lines 52-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2622

4. Claims 1, 5, 42 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bobrow et al. (US patent 6,529,285).

Regarding claim 1, Bobrow discloses an image processing apparatus comprising:

input means 40 for inputting image data which complies with a hierarchical data format that hierarchical stores image data of a plurality of resolutions (column 34, lines 47-67);

determination means for determining if the image data is a specific image (column 31, lines 38-51); and

output means for, when said determination means determines that the image data is the specific image, selecting and outputting image data in a lowest resolution layer (column 31, lines 52-67).

Regarding claim 5, Bobrow discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said determination means determines the specific image when the image data has a copyright (column 31, lines 38-51).

Regarding claims 42 and 47, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1, are applicable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2622

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Babrow et al. (US patent 6,529,285) in view of Yeomans (US patent 4,800,379).

Regarding claim 4, Bobrow does not disclose the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said output means enlarges the image data in the lowest resolution layer to a size of image data in a highest resolution layer, and outputs the enlarged data. Yeomans discloses this limitation in column 1, lines 33-47). Bobrow and Yeomans are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is displaying images with various resolution levels. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art, at the time of invention to use Yeomans for expanding the image data to fill the selected area.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2622

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Iraj) Alan Rahimi whose telephone number is 703-306-3473. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L Coles can be reached on 703-305-4712. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3800.

Alan Rahimi November 9, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER